## **United States District Court**

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. Charlita Beard			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Case Number: 1:05-cr-00020
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense description offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	<ul> <li>☐ A felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.</li> <li>(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.</li> <li>(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>(4) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.</li> </ul>		
	Alternate Findings (A)  (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
X		There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	nate Findings (B) ot appear. ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
	l fin		ement of Reasons for Detention bmitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
		dant waived her detention hearing, electing not to dant, with the consent of the Court and the gover	o contest detention at this time. rnment, reserves the right to revisit the issue of detention at a later date.
appeal the Uni	tions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the At acility separate, to the extent practicable, from po- defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppor tates or on request of an attorney for the Govern	tions Regarding Detention  ttorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending rtunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the mappearance in connection with a court proceeding.
June 8, 2005			/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
Date			Signature of Judge
			Fllen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge